

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/574,027 | 03/23/2006 | Ian David Stones | M03B197 | 8027 |
| 71134 Edwards Vacu | 7590 05/21/201 num Inc | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| 2041 MISSION COLLEGE BOULEVARD SUITE 260 SANTA CLARA, CA 95054 | | | EASTMAN, AARON ROBERT | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| om man | , 0.135551 | | 3745 | • |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/21/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/574,027 | STONES ET AL. | |
| Review | | Art Unit | |
| Review | Aaron R. Eastman | 3745 | |
| | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Re | eview filed 22 April 2010. | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper a | and a conference will not be held for the following | ng |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inc ☐ A proposed amendment ☐ Other: | lude reasons why a r | | |
| | | from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or lotice of Appeal has been received. | from |
| held. The application remains un- is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because t rief in accordance wit h from mailing this de otice of appeal, which B7 CFR 1.136 based | erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference hat there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Ap- ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filling an a ecision, or the balance of the two-month time per- never is greater. Further, the time period for filin upon the mail date of this decision or the receip | plicar ppea eriod g of t |
| ⊠ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 48-64. Claim(s) withdrawn from column column. | | claim(s) is as follows: | |
| Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time. | conference has been ution on the merits re | en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice emains closed. No further action is required by | of |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further | | n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Off applicant at this time. | ice |
| All participants: | | | |
| (1) Aaron R. Eastman. | | (3) <u>Janet Baxter</u> . | |
| (2) Edward Look. | | (4) | |
| /Edward K. Look/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3745 | /Janet C. Baxter/ TC 3700 TQAS | | |

Part of Paper No. 20100511